Executive Commissioner Chris Traylor held stakeholder meetings in 2015 to gather input on ways to improve the managed care landscape, from both the member and provider perspective. According to Executive Commissioner Traylor, the purpose was to improve provider experience in managed care and ultimately to ensure the 4.5 million people relying on the Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) programs have appropriate access to services to enable them to live strong, productive lives. He also shared thoughts that it is important as Texas evolves from fee-for-service (FFS) to managed care, to project future needs to create the best system possible.

After receiving recommendations, additional meetings were held with stakeholders, on November 9, 2015, and December 8, 2015, to further discuss the ideas and potential next steps. Executive Commissioner Traylor explained that some recommendations the agency can handle administratively, some will require legislative action, and then there will be items on which the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) will not take any action. He committed to posting decisions made for each recommendation on the website along with an explanation of why action is or is not being taken, and he advised staff they should do everything possible to implement the stakeholder recommendation. Executive Commissioner Dr. Courtney Phillips is equally committed to improving member and provider experience in Medicaid managed care. Enrique Marquez, Chief Program Services Officer in coordination with Stephanie Muth, State Medicaid Director, hold responsibility for coordination and implementation of this project and monitoring its progress.

HHSC responses were shared directly with stakeholder groups in February 2016, updates were first posted to the website on April 11, 2016 and biannual updates on items in progress or under discussion will continue to be shared on the website. Items that are closed as of the last update will be provided in a separate file as there will be no further update. Items were closed either as complete, no action to be taken, or other (issue to be addressed through another existing process). In each update, changes to previous responses are noted with red strikethrough for language that is being removed in order to provide an update, and new language is provided in red.

Questions about this project can sent to MedicaidManagedCare@hhsc.state.tx.us.

Table 1: Explanation of Response Fields

Table 1. Explanation of	1100 0100 110100					
Agenda / Division /	The abbreviation of the agency, division, and department leading this response. Responses in this document include:					
Department	CPSCO: Chief Program Services Office					
	MCS: Medicaid and CHIP Services (Department)					
	HHSC: Health and Human Services Commission					
Status	The overall status of the activity. Choices include:					
	No action to be taken					
	• Complete					
	• In progress					
	Under consideration					
	Other (Issue to be addressed through another existing process.)					
Number	The item number or numbers from the recommendation from the April 2016 update.					
Recommendation	The summary language provided in the April 2016 update for the recommendation by the stakeholder. In general, it begins with a					
	summary statement and then the full recommendation.					
Additional	If additional information was provided by stakeholders in the subsequent stakeholder meetings or by email to the program or project					
Stakeholder	manager, then this is included here with notes of the source of the information.					
Background						
Category	The category for the type of recommendation assigned to the recommendation for the April 2016 update. Categories include alternative					
	payment mechanisms, benefits, claims, communications, contract provisions, service coordination / member assistance, network					
	adequacy / access to care, continuity of care, rates, and stakeholder engagement and feedback.					
Provided By	The stakeholder group that provided the recommendation.					
HHSC Response	A high-level summary of the response from the agency to this recommendation. The HHSC response previously shared on the HHSC					
	website is included in black. New wording displayed in red, and red strikethrough indicates old wording that no longer applies.					
Date Last Updated	The date when language for this item was last updated.					
Major Milestones	The key steps planned to complete this item or to obtain a decision (if the item is under consideration).					
with Status Updates						

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	3 b-d	
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:			
	Department		In Progress: X			
	•		Complete:			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	providers and fam	ilies.	about the appeal process and im			
	Educate IDD clients and providers about the role of the appeal process to resolve certain types of issues with the MCO, the role of the complaint process to resolve certain types of issues with the MCO, when a complaint should be filed with HHSC, and the rights and responsibilities of clients and providers in those processes.					
	on the HHSC web providers for indiv MCOs. When an in coordinator return order to have a ch getting bumped fr individuals. The last services that shou they end up being staff and families data can be misles	ders and families have systemic issues with obtaining services for individuals in a timely manner. The emphasis SC website is to work through MCOs and their processes prior to sending a complaint to HHSC. However, for individuals with IDD have had a difficult time understanding how to navigate the internal workings of the nen an issue arises, providers first attempt to get a hold of a MCO service coordinator. If and when a service is returns a phone call, the response is usually not timely. For example, if the client needs to see a psychiatrist in ve a change in medications because of an emerging condition, IDD providers and families have reported mped from one person to the next in attempts to resolve issues, delaying the delivery of care for many at should have been paid for by the MCO often leads to providers and/or families paying out of pocket for at should have been paid for by the MCO. These incidents are rarely reported as a complaint to HHSC since to being resolved by the family or provider. However, the time involved to resolve an issue by IDD provider amilies is extensive and may have led to negative outcomes for the individuals involved. In this way, complaint to misleading because families and providers rarely file a formal appeal or complaint with the MCO (attempting it issues with the service coordinator) and even less frequently get to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase in language to the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase the step of reporting issues to HHSC increase the step of reporting issues to				
Additional Stakeholder						
Background: Category:	Network Adequac	ny / Aggass to Com	0			
Provided By:			Services of Texas (PACSTX)			
HHSC Response:				commendations on h	now to educate and reach out to	
THE POINT	individuals with I members on the cororides HHSC with coordinate with	DD about manage omplaint processed ith more accurated the IDD SRAC at the IDD	ed care. HHSC requested feedbacks, including how to encourage a complaint data and enables HI	ack from the IDD Slindividuals to formation address issuaged Care Subcomm	RAC on approaches to educating ally submit complaints, which es as they arise. HHSC will continue littee as issues arise to inform the	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

The IDD SRAC recommended that the MCOs, Local Intellectual and Developmental Disability Authorities (LIDDAs), and the LTSS HHSC waiver providers meet routinely through regional healthcare collaborations to address operational issues and specific case issues. Regional healthcare collaboration meetings may assist in resolving day-to-day operational issues and challenges as the MCOs, LIDDAs and providers have an opportunity to work through specific cases.

SB 760, 84th Legislature, Regular Session, 2015, directs the HHS Office of the Ombudsman to coordinate a network of entities to provide support and information services to Medicaid managed care consumers. The Office of the Ombudsman is holding meetings for has held two meetings of the "Managed Care Support Network" that includes HHSC, DADS, the Department of Family and Protective Services, Aging and Disability Resource Centers, Area Agency on Aging, enrollment broker (MAXIMUS), and other representatives who interact regularly with consumers and families.

The quality subcommittee of the IDD SRAC met regularly and made recommendations on a more user-friendly guide for individuals and families, including key differences between the complaint and appeal processes. The quality subcommittee's recommendations included a more accessible webpage that includes pictures and fewer words to file a complaint, an appeal, or to obtain information, and for the MCOs to send out a magnet with a number to call to file a complaint. The quality subcommittee ended and the quality subcommittee projects transferred to the transition to managed care subcommittee. The Office of the Ombudsman, Program, and Communications staff are working together to finalize the webpage. HHSC created an infographic depicting how to submit a complaint, what to expect when submitting a complaint, and how to follow up on a complaint. This infographic is linked on the HHSC website as a pdf. The infographic was developed utilizing feedback provided by the IDD SRAC and was also reviewed by the IDD SRAC. HHSC is required to ensure communications are accessible and this document was edited by HHSC Accessibility.

MCS initiated a number of process improvement efforts including an effort to review the complaints process, the member and provider experience, and improve related processes. Completion and testing of the website update described above is on hold in order to be sure that the changes to the complaints process are appropriately integrated into this communication prior to release. Representatives attended the April 2019 IDD SRAC meeting to discuss these projects and next steps. Additional information is provided at: https://hhs.texas.gov/about-hhs/process-improvement/managed-care-oversight-improvement-initiatives

5/1/201911/12/2019

Date Last Updated: 5/1/2019

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Quality subcommittee presents recommendations to Full IDD SRAC.	7/28/2016	Completed	

<u> </u>	oving Member and Provider Experience			
2	Quality subcommittee discussed recommendations	10/12/2016	Completed	
	with Communications staff.			
3	HHSC Program, Communication, and Ombudsman	12/2016	Completed	
	staff met to discuss website options to meet the			
	subcommittees' recommendations while			
	maintaining HHSC branding standards.			
4	HHSC IDD SRAC liaison and Quality	1/25/17	Completed	
	subcommittee chair presented identified projects to			
	address subcommittee members' recommendations			
	during the Quality subcommittee meeting.			
5	HHSC Program, Communication, and Ombudsman	4/4/17	Completed	
	staff will meet and develop a timeline to create an		_	
	accessible webpage for individuals and will present			
	the timeline to the subcommittee.			
6	HHSC SRAC liaison will provide updates each		Ongoing	
	meeting and work with the subcommittee to obtain			
	feedback during the webpage design			
7	HHSC will survey STAR+PLUS MCOs to obtain	4/4/17	Completed	
	more information on how they currently address			
	complaints and if they currently send magnets.			
8	Attend IDD SRAC meeting to provide overview of	1/30/2019	Completed	
	managed care oversight improvement projects.			
9	Accessible webpage design will be tested by	8/1/2019	Delayed	Will liaise with committee chair regarding resolution.
	individuals with IDD to ensure it is user friendly.			
10	Accessible webpage will be posted to agency	9/1/2019	Delayed	As described above, MCS initiated a number of process
	website.		Completed	improvement efforts including an effort to review the
				complaints process, the member experience, and improve
				related processes. Completion and testing of the website
				update described above is on hold in order to ensure that the
				changes to the complaints process are appropriately integrated
				into this communication prior to release.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	3c	
Agency/Division/Department.	MCS Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	Number.	30	
	MCS Department		In Progress: X			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	HHSC should publ	<u>l</u> ish data about IDD	consumer experience.			
Tecommentation:	Thribe should publ	ion data accat IDD	consumer experience.			
	HHSC should publ	ish data about IDD	consumer experience related to delay	s or denials of care from	om lack of network	
			sity criteria, not meeting internal guid			
	and lack of prior au	thorization.				
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	PACSTX					
HHSC Response:			requested data for the IDD population			
			to obtain and publish the requested da		future, as well as	
	explore ways to leverage the EQRO reports for inclusion of the requested data.					
			wards improving member satisfaction			
			gh its EQRO, conducts routine Consu			
	Systems (CAHPS) surveys of Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) managed care members to					
	obtain feedback on healthcare. See recommendation 95 for progress on assessing the applicability of this survey to the					
	IDD population.					
	HHSC reviewed and assessed data, including complaint data, and complaints related to network adequacy and prior					
	authorizations, for inclusion in the House Bill 3523 Legislative Report submitted to the legislature in November 2016. The report can be viewed here: https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-redesign-for-indiv-with-idd.pdf .					
		10 (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	, misterius go , sives, mis, mes, system	100051511 101 11101 111	<u></u>	
	HHSC also added of	questions related to	members with IDD to the PCP Refer	ral Study. This study s	surveys primary care	
			eferring members for specialist care. I			
	patients with IDD a	and to describe their	r experiences in referring members w	ith IDD for specialist	care, including	
	behavioral health c	are.				
			y to look at consumer experiences of			
			et samples and stratify results using th			
			IDD Waivers, Supplemental Security	` ,	-service (FFS), and SSI	
	STAR+PLUS. Thi	s should allow HH	SC to analyze results specific to mem	bers with IDD.		
					Page 6	

Date Last Updated: 5/1/201911/15/2019

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Research to determine if the EQRO data collection	Spring 2017	Completed	HHSC is working with the EQRO to develop cost estimates
	process could specify experiences of individuals			regarding different options (e.g., surveys, focus groups, etc.).
	with intellectual and developmental disabilities.			As a result of IDD SRAC input in October 2017, EQRO is
				running HEDIS results specifically for individuals with IDD.
				The results are slated to be shared with the committee in
				December. Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated
				through IDD SRAC.
2	Submit House Bill 3523/ Senate Bill 7 IDD	11/1/2016	Completed	The House Bill 3523/ Senate Bill 7 IDD Legislative Report
	Legislative Report.			was submitted in November 2016. The report can be viewed
				here: https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-redesign-for-
		10/10/2010		indiv-with-idd.pdf.
3	PCP Referral Study final report.	10/19/2018	Completed	The completed report was shared with IDD SRAC on October
				19, 2018. Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated
	ami p vii i a	71041441410040		through IDD SRAC.
4	STAR Kids focus study final report.	5/31/ 11/14/2019	On Target	Preliminary results from the pre-implementation study were
			Completed	presented to the STAR Kids Advisory Committee at their
				public meeting on March 1, 2017. The final pre-
				implementation report was shared with the committee in
				summer 2017 and is posted on the HHSC website at
				https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/default/files/documents/about-
				hhs/process-improvement/quality-efficiency-
				improvement/STAR-Kids-Pre-Implementation-Report-
				052617.pdf. The final summary report which will include
				post-implementation measure results will be shared with the
				advisory committee in summer 2019 January 2020.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	12		
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
Recommendation:	Eliminate use of TI	PI and only use the N	NPI number.				
			cient and confusing. Many physicia				
			pate in multiple Medicaid programs				
			s NPI number for enrollment and c		er than multiple		
	Medicaid TPI num	Medicaid TPI numbers will streamline both processes for physicians and the state.					
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:	37 . 1 . 1	/ A					
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS						
HHSC Response:	Due to the legacy systems supporting Fee for Service processing in both Acute and Long Term Services and Supports,						
	HHSC cannot immediately discontinue the use of State Identifiers for providers such as the TPI and the DADS Contract						
	Identifiers. HHSC does require the MCOs and Providers conducting business with the MCOs to utilize either a NPI or Atypical Provider Identifier (API) for the submission of claims. The TPI is a value utilized for establishing enrollment						
					tablishing enrollment		
	with HHSC for the	Medicaid program (out is not utilized for claims process	sing.			
	It is the intent of U	USC to implement of	hanges that will continue to expand	the use of NDI and A	DI voluos vehilo		
	diminishing the use of TPI and Contract IDs. These actions will take time to implement in a manner that supports both the Fee for Service and Managed Care service delivery models. Initial work has been done to identify changes needed						
	and the impact to future procurements. This will take place across multiple programming and contractual changes over						
			elated to impacted procurements will				
	-	opriate, and reported		ir oo released an oagii	ine procurement		
Date Last Updated:	4/12/2019 11/15/20						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Draft and publish request for proposal (RFP) for Provider Management and Enrollment system.	2/17/2017	Complete	
2	(RFP) Vendor Conference	3/1/2017	Complete	

3	(RFP) Proposal Response Phase	5/24/2017	Complete	
4	(RFP) Evaluation Phase	10/27/2017	Complete	
5	(RFP) Field of Competition Approved	11/10/2017	Complete	
6	(RFP) Recommended Vendor Approved	3/8/2018	Complete	
7	(RFP) Contract Awarded	12/31/2018	Complete	
8	Vendor Transition	3/1/2019	Complete	Targeted Date pushed out due to negotiations for transition.
9	Stakeholder evaluation of vendor deliverables	5/1/2019	On Target	Contract Suspended
10	PMES Testing	9/1/2019	On Target	Contract Suspended
11	PMES Implementation (TPI no longer used - system	3/1/2020	On Target	Target date pushed out because the transition started 3/3/19
	live)			instead of January. Contract Suspended
12	Vendor Operations of PMES	3/1/2020	On Target	Target date pushed out because the transition started 3/3/19
				instead of January. Contract Suspended

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	32 a-f / 35 / 73
rigency/Division/Department.	MCS Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	rumber.	32 4 17 33 7 73
	Wes Department		In Progress: X		
			Complete:		
			Other:		
Recommendation:	Care Organization.		cal equipment to individuals re	C	licaid services through a Managed
	1) Require that assessments are done within a specified period of time. 2) Require the delivery of DME within a specified period of time. 3) Require the MCO contract with DME companies that can provide loaner or rental equipment to individuals while they transition from facility based care or while they are waiting on their equipment to be delivered. 4) Require expedited appeals of DME denials. 5) Allow for consumers to request and be granted single case agreements for DME when the company they have established a trusted relationship with is not within network. 6) Coordinate a process to review and address system inconsistencies in how MCOs are providing and denying DME. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to: Not all MCOs are providing the same scope of DME as that available to FFS clients. Not all MCOs are applying the medical necessity standard for DME established in Medicaid policy. Not all MCOs are informing beneficiaries of the opportunity to request an exceptional circumstances appeal for items of DME not otherwise listed in agency rule. Some MCOs are applying Medicare criteria instead of Texas Medicaid standards for certain DME requests. Some MCOs are denying DME requests based upon "bundling" and "coding" issues. These are not matters that a beneficiary can address in a fair hearing to challenge the denial. Some MCOs are requiring individuals to change DME providers even when their chosen provider is in network. Denial notices that are not legally sufficient, for example: Providing a list of medical necessity criteria without specifying which ones apply in a particular case. Simply informing the beneficiary that the requested DME item is "not part of your health plan." Denying an item of DME without identifying the rule or policy that supports the denial. Telling the beneficiary to contact his or her physician about the denial.				
Additional Stakeholder Background:	This recommendati Disabilities, Arc of HHSC response did consideration:	on was discussed in Texas, and Disabilid not fully address th	a meeting with EveryChild, Inty Rights Texas on 8/9/2016. The recommendations, and the form	The represen ollowing add	tatives provided feedback that this ditional information was added for
	Particular cConsider reRecommen research in	concern when leaving eviewing trends and	data regarding delays between of the inconsistencies among	authorizatio	

Category: Provided By: HHSC Response:	Disability Rights Texas/Every Child, Inc./Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities/The Arc of Texas HHSC is committed to improving processes to address concerns regarding the provision of medically-necessary DME through Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps. HHSC will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a result, additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO requirements. Effective 3/1/2017 the UMCC and UMCM have been revised to require MCOs to provide quarterly
	HHSC is committed to improving processes to address concerns regarding the provision of medically-necessary DME through Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps. HHSC will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a result, additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO
HHSC Response:	through Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps. HHSC will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a result, additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO
	data regarding members enrolled in STAR+PLUS, STAR Health and STAR Kids whose items or services have been reduced, denied, or terminated. An additional step that HHSC will undertake is to review options to improve training for both providers and MCOs. It is critical that providers and MCO staff have a thorough understanding of the Medicaid DME benefits and the related processes for approval and provision of the benefits. Effective 2017, MCO websites must allow providers to submit PA requests and include online processes to permit the following: submission of electronic claims and any related documentation requested by the MCO; submission of claims appeals and reconsiderations, and submission of clinical data. The website also must include email addresses for receipt of provider complaints. Provider directories must include an explanation of referral processes to providers such as OB/GYNs, behavioral health, and family planning. MCOs are required to assess members within the timeframes outlined in their contract. HHSC will review these timelines to ensure they are reasonable and will continue to monitor MCOs to ensure the assessments are happening in a timely manner. A report analyzing closed DME complaints was prepared for Health Plan Management (HPM) review to enable trending and analysis regarding specific MCOs that receive the most complaints as well as the reasons for the complaints. In addition, HHSC is requesting specific examples from DME providers to determine which barriers providers are experiencing. These issues will be researched by HHSC and discussed with the MCOs. HHSC is also working to address issues related to the content and specificity of MCO denial notices including addressing a member's right to appeal and providing information about the appeal and fair hearing process to

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

· ·	accompany the denial notice. HHSC is also committed to including an opportunity for stakeholder comment prior to adding the requirement to MCO contracts and manuals.
	Stakeholders are requested to submit complaints and examples of untimely assessments to the HHSC Ombudsman (clients) or HHSC HPM (members and providers):
	HHSC Ombudsman Phone: 1-866-566-8989 HHSC Ombudsman Online: https://hhs.texas.gov/ombudsman
	HHSC HPM Email: HPM_complaints@hhsc.state.tx.us or STAR.Health@hhsc.state.tx.us (for complaints specific to the STAR Health program)
	In response to stakeholder request for information about use of SSLCs for wheeled mobility vendors: HHSC does not have approval at this time from CMS for SSLCs to provide services to the community.
Date Last Updated:	4/11/2019 11/15/2019

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	HHSC will convene an internal workgroup to brainstorm actions that can be taken to address the requestors concerns not already addressed in the response.	8/31/2016	Completed	
2	Host webinar for MCOs regarding medical policy for mobility aids.	10/30/2016	Completed	
3	HPM compiles report on closed DME complaints received in FY 2016.	11/20/2016	Completed	
4	Obtain specific examples from DME providers to determine which barriers providers are experiencing.	12/15/2016	Requested	
5	Enhanced MCO websites implemented.	5/1/2017	Completed	Enhanced MCO websites have been implemented and reviewed. HPM is currently following up on minor outstanding items.
6	Contract and manual changes effective to require MCOs to provide quarterly data regarding items	3/1/2017	Completed	

	or services have been reduced, denied, or	111 1/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10	aragea our	
	terminated.			
7	Assess DME complaints and potential next steps (internal).	1/30/2017	Completed	Analysis did not result in the identification of any DME complaint-related trends, but HPM will continue to monitor future complaint data.
8	Meet with internal workgroup to discuss DME complaints findings and utilization review results to determine appropriate actions and next steps.	6/1/2017	Completed	
9	HPM and MCO conference calls to discuss complaint trends.	9/1/2017	Completed	After researching complaint data, received from January 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017; only inquiries and complaints about preferred providers were identified as a trend. Due to these contacts, HHSC Program/Policy and Legal areas met with MCOs to clarify policies around Member choice and the processes, by which, the MCO shall capture and update member DME provider selections.
10	Review options to improve training.	9/1/2017	Completed	
11	Complete a random sample review of HCBS members, discuss outcomes with each MCO, and publish annual Utilization Review report.	11/1/2017	Completed	
12	Review DME issues with advocates/stakeholders.	6/1/2018	Completed	Meeting with advocates/stakeholders held to revisit current concerns regarding the delivery of DME to Medicaid Managed Care members across programs.
13	Convene stakeholders to discuss issues of concern.	11/1/2018	Completed	Beginning in November 2018, HHSC staff convened DME providers, managed care organizations, and advocates for individuals who use DME to discuss stakeholder concerns. Meetings occur every 6-8 weeks and are anticipated to conclude in late 2019. Each meeting has a focus on a specific concern shared by stakeholders.
14	Amend Uniform Managed Care Manual to include required template for all MCO denial letters	9/1/2019 3/1/2020	On Target Delayed	UMCM Chapter 3.21 was pulled as updates to the adverse benefit determination notice are being made based on legislation direction received by HHSC; Implementation of an Independent Review Organization to perform external medical review of MCO appeals is set to implement August 2020.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	58		
Agency/Division/Department:	MCS	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	Nulliber.	36		
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete: Other:				
December of detions	Establish anadan	tialina and mini		n maranin o fo silitar man	oidana analoin a ta manti aimata in tha		
Recommendation:					widers seeking to participate in the		
	STAR + PLUS	Medicaid manag	ged care program that are consis	tent with adopted re	derai and state standards.		
	HHSC has not in	mnlamented othe	er current law (SB 7, 2013) rega	ording the Commissi	on's responsibility to		
		inpiemented out	er current law (SB 7, 2013) lega	arding the Commissi	on s responsibility to –		
	" establish cr	redentialing and	minimum performance standard	ds for nursing facility	providers seeking to participate in the		
					deral and state standards. A managed		
					ng facility does not meet the minimum		
			ed by the commission under thi		<i>y</i>		
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Contract provisi	ons					
Provided By:	AARP						
HHSC Response:			Code 533.00251(e), HHSC is d				
	minimum perfor	rmance standards	s and plans to submit contract a	mendments in Septe	mber 2017 to be effective March 1,		
	2018.						
	Currently the contract includes standard significant traditional provider (STP) provisions statewide for nursing facilities in						
	STAR+PLUS that will expire February 28, 2018. The MCO must treat a NF as an STP if it holds a valid certification,						
	· ·		<u> </u>	3 '	ng provider policy is in contract, but		
					h any willing NF-provider, including		
					, 2013. The NF STP provision and any		
					imum performance standards. HHSC		
					ntialing and minimum performance		
	standards are de	veloped, the any	willing provider provision will	need to be updated	in the contract.		
	A monting was 1	aald with acces	otions MCOs and NE massides	o on 2/15/16	ing their input on MCO and doutishing		
	A meeting was held with associations, MCOs, and NF providers on 3/15/16 requesting their input on MCO credentialing						
	standards for NFs. HHS surveyed the STAR+PLUS MCOs and the Medicare-Medicaid plans (MMP) about credentialing						
	and re-contracting of NFs and skilled nursing facilities (SNFs). Additional meetings were scheduled to obtain further						
	input. HHSC met with AARP on 2/21/2017 to discuss feedback and ideas under discussion. HHSC incorporated AARP's feedback into the draft high level proposal.						
	reedback fillo th	e diait iligii leve	i proposai.				
	1				D 44		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	The NF credentialing stakeholder workgroup comprised of state staff and key stakeholders, will work together in			
	developing the credentialing and performance standards. The workgroup will consider how to prevent the implementation			
	of these standards from resulting in access to care issues.			
	HHSC will review and reassess standards and modification of standards as needed.			
Date Last Updated:	4/17/2019 11/14/2019			

	·	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Nursing facility provider meeting held requesting	3/15/2016	Completed	
	feedback from providers, associations and MCOs.			
2	Nursing facility provider meeting held reiterating	4/25/2016	Completed	
	that feedback is being requested.			
3	STAR+PLUS conference call asking MCOs to	6/1/2016	Completed	
	submit in writing the credentialing criteria they will			
	use once STP status for nursing facility providers			
	expires and how each MCO will handle contracting			
	with NF as well.			
4	Requested criteria received from the MCOs.	6/13/2016	Completed	
5	Meet with AARP to discuss feedback received.	2/21/2017	Completed	
6	Obtain feedback from other relevant stakeholders.	2/1/2017 through	Completed	
		8/31/2017		
7	Revise UMCC and UMCM to incorporate changes	9/1/2017	Completed	
	for 3/1/2018 effective date.			
8	Determine if a Texas Administrative Code rule	4/1/2018	Completed	It was determined that this was not needed.
	amendment is needed.			
9	Negotiate contract amendments	10/1/2017 through	Completed	
		2/28/2018		
10	Dependent upon contract amendment negotiations,	3/1/2018	Completed	
	new STAR+PLUS credentialing standards become			
	effective. All STAR+PLUS MCOs must use the			
	state-identified credentialing standards to credential			
	NFs seeking to participate in STAR+PLUS.			

11	Submit changes to UMCM to incorporate minimum	9/1/2019	Delayed	In the last quarter of 2019 2018, the workgroup made progress
	performance standards.	2/1/2020		in identifying possible metrics for performance standards;
				however, progress was delayed due to the workload associated
				with the Texas legislative session.
				The workgroup reconvened September 2019 to finalize the
				measures and standards to be used for the minimum
				performance standards. After the meeting, HHSC had action
				items for additional analyses and workgroup members
				provided official feedback on the proposal.
12	Require that MCOs complete credentialing of all	12/31/2018	Completed	While still true that this requirement was put in place by
	NFs that are in its network as of 3/1/2018 by			12/31/2018, the deadline for compliance has been revised to
	6/30/2019.			12/31/2019 to address issues with implementation of this
				requirement.
13	Determine roles and responsibilities for-monitoring	9/1/2019 2/1/2020	Delayed	This milestone is dependent upon the completion of milestone
	NF performance on standards.			11, which was delayed.

			aid Managed Care	N			
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	83		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	over" to the new p PA & physician o	per does make a MMC plan change, we are asking for a transfer of the existing PA for service needs to "care plan, for the remainder of the PA date span. an order continuity upon MMC change: When a member does make a MMC plan change, we are asking existing PA for service needs to "carry over" to the new plan, for the remainder of the PA date span. Most					
					vice to an already current member		
					in order to be accepted as "good" as		
			within 180 days of service date.	1 3	1 &		
Additional Stakeholder			<u> </u>				
Background:							
Category:	Continuity of Care	2					
Provided By:	Texas Rehab Prov	riders Council					
HHSC Response:	HHSC contractually requires MCOs to provide continuity in the care of newly enrolled members in accordance with UMCC Section 8.2.1, "Continuity of Care and Out-of-Network Providers." However, this requirement is contingent upon the member's provider notifying the MCO of the existence of a prior authorization. The order is valid for the shortest period of one of the following: (1) 90 calendar days after the transition to a new MCO or 180 calendar days for LTSS services for STAR+PLUS members; (2) until the end of the current authorization period; or (3) until the MCO has evaluated and assessed the member and issued or denied a new authorization.						
	alternatives and he MCO workgroup programs. At the ransfer p has collaborated wand STAR+PLUS the STAR program	were reviewed, and a high-level estimate received to collect this information. HHSC has explored additional d held meetings internally to discuss next steps. The Texas Association of Health Plans (TAHP) is leading an oup which developed a member transfer process, which includes PA transfer information, for certain he request of HHSC, TAHP expanded the scope of their project to encompass all programs, including CHIP. For process developed by the workgroup does not require HHSC intervention or technology changes. TAHP and with MCOs to develop a manual process to transfer existing PA from one plan to another for STAR Kids LUS, and is currently working to implement this in CHIP. This manual process is not appropriate for use in gram, so automated options may be explored once federal rules related to this issue are published. Draft rules a automated process may be required. HHSC will continue to monitor progress to confirm that this effort will					
Date Last Updated:	4/25/2019 12/5/20	19					

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Explore options and identify cost involved to make	9/1/2017	Complete	
	changes to collect and share prior authorization			
	content between payers.			
2	Research alternative solutions and determine	4/1/2018	Completed	
	associated costs. This step includes obtaining		_	
	stakeholder feedback.			
3	Obtain feedback from TAHP about options to	9/1/2019	On Target	TAHP coordinated with MCOs and determined this is not a
	automate this processing in the STAR program.		Completed	feasible option. HHSC will consider alternative options.
4	Consider additional options for a solution.	6/1/2020	_	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	97 / 98		
g <i>y</i>	MCS		No Action to be Taken:	- 10//	21,72		
	Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Meaningfully info	orm and include pe	eople with DD on councils, worl	kgroups, and commi	ttees concerning their health and		
	human services.						
Additional Stakeholder					for Developmental Disabilities, Arc		
Background:					back that supports are not provided		
		nd shared concern	ns that feedback is routed throug	th HHSC and not pro	ovided directly to legislative		
	leadership.						
Category:	Stakeholder engag						
Provided By:	Disability Rights	Texas/EveryChild	I, Inc./Texas Council for Develo	pmental Disabilities	/The Arc of Texas		
HHSC Response:	and workgroups, wexamining our construction on the HHSC civil rigrepresentation on Through our adviss regarding the qual Advisory Committimpacting the deliusing the forums receiving services will actively seek	While HHSC makes every effort to inform and include individuals with developmental disabilities on committees, counc and workgroups, we are always interested in ways we might enhance outreach and participation. HHSC is currently examining our committee memberships and other opportunities for public comment to look for areas of improvement. HHSC will continue to consider individuals with DD for council, workgroups, and committees. HHSC currently engages the HHSC civil rights agency staff in council and committee membership decisions to ensure adequate and diverse representation on the councils and committees. Through our advisory committees, individuals with disabilities are given opportunities to serve and express their concern regarding the quality of care received. These committees—in addition to the IDD SRAC, the BHIAC, Medical Care Advisory Committee, and the STAR Kids Advisory Committee—provide a forum for stakeholder input on policies impacting the delivery of Medicaid managed care services. Using the forums described above, HHSC will continue to consider feedback from families, individuals with disabilities receiving services, and LTSS providers on a number of policies, including ways to alleviate burdensome processes. HHS will actively seek feedback by adding topics to current appropriate stakeholder forum agendas. After further discussion with stakeholders, MCS leadership directed additional efforts to develop a policy around the supports and processes to be used for councils, workgroups, and committees on which individuals with DD may serve on					
	coordination. In addition, HHSC will work with The Arc of Texas to provide training and information to employees about the need for these supports and the steps to take for inclusive meetings.						
Date Last Updated:	4/12/2019 12/13/2						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Identify changes needed to ensure participation of individuals participating on councils, workgroups, and committees is meaningful and appropriately supported.	11/30/16	Completed	
2	Develop plans to address issues.	2/1/2017	Completed	
3	Establish internal workgroup to develop policy to outline expectations for supports and process to use to establish an inclusive meeting for individuals with DD that may serve or participate.	6/1/2017	Completed	
4	Coordinate with The Arc of Texas to deliver training for staff.	9/1/2017	Completed	
5	Meet with staff in the Advisory Committee Coordination Office to share information about the project, and transfer responsibilities.	9/1/2018	Completed	This project is being transferred to the Advisory Committee Coordination Office for agency wide development.
6	Develop draft HHSC policy outlining expectations for meeting supports for inclusion of individuals with IDD.	8/1/18	Completed	
7	Add draft components to the HHSC facilitation guide, outlining expectations for meeting supports for inclusion of individuals with IDD.	10/1/18	Completed	
8	Finalize HHSC policy.	10/1/18	Completed	
9	Develop plans for meeting ongoing training needs.	10/1/18	Completed	
10	Finalize HHSC facilitation guide.	10/1/18	Completed	
11	Analyze project needs and develop new project timeline.	1/1/2019	Completed	
12	Conduct training for HHSC staff and committee members.	9/1/2019 4/1/2020	On Target Delayed	Training will be developed utilizing information presented to HHSC staff by the Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities, Texas Advocates, and the Arc of Texas. An orientation handbook is being developed for committee members and committee chairs. Due to turnover in committee support staff, training for new liaisons was scheduled and

Executive Commissioner's Commitment to						
Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care						
	completed in November. Training for all support staff will be					
	scheduled early in 2020 to present the orientation and training					
	on the inclusion of individuals with special needs.					