Executive Commissioner Chris Traylor held stakeholder meetings in 2015 to gather input on ways to improve the managed care landscape, from both the member and provider perspective. According to Executive Commissioner Traylor, the purpose was to improve provider experience in managed care and ultimately to ensure the 4.5 million people relying on the Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) programs have appropriate access to services to enable them to live strong, productive lives. He also shared thoughts that it is important as Texas evolves from fee-for-service (FFS) to managed care, to project future needs to create the best system possible.

After receiving recommendations, additional meetings were held with stakeholders, on November 9, 2015, and December 8, 2015, to further discuss the ideas and potential next steps. Executive Commissioner Traylor explained that some recommendations the agency can handle administratively, some will require legislative action, and then there will be items on which the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) will not take any action. He committed to posting decisions made for each recommendation on the website along with an explanation of why action is or is not being taken, and he advised staff they should do everything possible to implement the stakeholder recommendation. Executive Commissioner Dr. Courtney Phillips is equally committed to improving member and provider experience in Medicaid managed care. Enrique Marquez, Chief Program Services Officer in coordination with Stephanie Muth, State Medicaid Director, hold responsibility for coordination and implementation of this project and monitoring its progress.

HHSC responses were shared directly with stakeholder groups in February 2016, updates were first posted to the website on April 11, 2016 and biannual updates on items in progress or under discussion will continue to be shared on the website. Items that are closed as of the last update will be provided in a separate file as there will be no further update. Items were closed either as complete, no action to be taken, or other (issue to be addressed through another existing process). In each update, changes to previous responses are noted with red strikethrough for language that is being removed in order to provide an update, and new language is provided in red.

Questions about this project can sent to <a href="MedicaidManagedCare@hhsc.state.tx.us">MedicaidManagedCare@hhsc.state.tx.us</a>.

Table 1: Explanation of Response Fields

Table 1. Explanation of	Response I iclus				
Agenda / Division /	The abbreviation of the agency, division, and department leading this response. Responses in this document include:				
Department	CPSCO: Chief Program Services Office				
	MCS: Medicaid and CHIP Services (Department)				
	HHSC: Health and Human Services Commission				
Status	The overall status of the activity. Choices include:				
	No action to be taken				
	Complete				
	• In progress				
	Under consideration				
	Other (Issue to be addressed through another existing process.)				
Number	The item number or numbers from the recommendation from the April 2016 update.				
Recommendation	The summary language provided in the April 2016 update for the recommendation by the stakeholder. In general, it begins with a				
	summary statement and then the full recommendation.				
Additional	If additional information was provided by stakeholders in the subsequent stakeholder meetings or by email to the program or project				
Stakeholder	manager, then this is included here with notes of the source of the information.				
Background					
Category	The category for the type of recommendation assigned to the recommendation for the April 2016 update. Categories include alternative				
	payment mechanisms, benefits, claims, communications, contract provisions, service coordination / member assistance, network				
	adequacy / access to care, continuity of care, rates, and stakeholder engagement and feedback.				
Provided By	The stakeholder group that provided the recommendation.				
HHSC Response	A high-level summary of the response from the agency to this recommendation. The HHSC response previously shared on the HHSC				
	website is included in black. New wording displayed in red, and red strikethrough indicates old wording that no longer applies.				
Date Last Updated	The date when language for this item was last updated.				
Major Milestones	The key steps planned to complete this item or to obtain a decision (if the item is under consideration).				
with Status Updates					

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	3 b-d		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress: X				
	•		Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Educate IDD clients and providers about the appeal process and improve the timeliness of MCO responsible providers and families.						
	Educate IDD clients and providers about the role of the appeal process to resolve certain types of issues with the MCO, the role of the complaint process to resolve certain types of issues with the MCO, when a complaint should be filed with HHSC, and the rights and responsibilities of clients and providers in those processes.						
	IDD providers and families have systemic issues with obtaining services for individuals in a timely manner. The emphasis on the HHSC website is to work through MCOs and their processes prior to sending a complaint to HHSC. However, providers for individuals with IDD have had a difficult time understanding how to navigate the internal workings of the MCOs. When an issue arises, providers first attempt to get a hold of a MCO service coordinator. If and when a service coordinator returns a phone call, the response is usually not timely. For example, if the client needs to see a psychiatrist in order to have a change in medications because of an emerging condition, IDD providers and families have reported getting bumped from one person to the next in attempts to resolve issues, delaying the delivery of care for many individuals. The lack of timely response from the MCO often leads to providers and/or families paying out of pocket for services that should have been paid for by the MCO. These incidents are rarely reported as a complaint to HHSC since they end up being resolved by the family or provider. However, the time involved to resolve an issue by IDD provider staff and families is extensive and may have led to negative outcomes for the individuals involved. In this way, complaint data can be misleading because families and providers rarely file a formal appeal or complaint with the MCO (attempting to work out issues with the service coordinator) and even less frequently get to the step of reporting issues to HHSC unless the issue is longstanding.						
Additional Stakeholder							
Background: Category:	Network Adequac	ny / Aggass to Com	0				
Provided By:			Services of Texas (PACSTX)				
HHSC Response:				commendations on h	now to educate and reach out to		
THE POINT	individuals with I members on the cororides HHSC with coordinate with	DD about manage omplaint processed ith more accurated the IDD SRAC a	ed care. HHSC requested feedbacks, including how to encourage a complaint data and enables HI	ack from the IDD Slindividuals to formation address issuaged Care Subcomm	RAC on approaches to educating ally submit complaints, which es as they arise. HHSC will continue littee as issues arise to inform the		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

The IDD SRAC recommended that the MCOs, Local Intellectual and Developmental Disability Authorities (LIDDAs), and the LTSS HHSC waiver providers meet routinely through regional healthcare collaborations to address operational issues and specific case issues. Regional healthcare collaboration meetings may assist in resolving day-to-day operational issues and challenges as the MCOs, LIDDAs and providers have an opportunity to work through specific cases.

SB 760, 84th Legislature, Regular Session, 2015, directs the HHS Office of the Ombudsman to coordinate a network of entities to provide support and information services to Medicaid managed care consumers. The Office of the Ombudsman is holding meetings for the "Managed Care Support Network" that includes HHSC, DADS, the Department of Family and Protective Services, Aging and Disability Resource Centers, Area Agency on Aging, enrollment broker (MAXIMUS), and other representatives who interact regularly with consumers and families.

The quality subcommittee of the IDD SRAC met regularly and made recommendations on a more user-friendly guide for individuals and families, including key differences between the complaint and appeal processes. The quality subcommittee's recommendations included a more accessible webpage that includes pictures and fewer words to file a complaint, an appeal, or to obtain information, and for the MCOs to send out a magnet with a number to call to file a complaint. The quality subcommittee ended and the quality subcommittee projects transferred to the transition to managed care subcommittee. HHSC created an infographic depicting how to submit a complaint, what to expect when submitting a complaint, and how to follow up on a complaint. This infographic is linked on the HHSC website as a pdf. The infographic was developed utilizing feedback provided by the IDD SRAC and was also reviewed by the IDD SRAC. HHSC is required to ensure communications are accessible and this document was edited by HHSC Accessibility.

MCS initiated a number of process improvement efforts including an effort to review the complaints process, the member and provider experience, and improve related processes. Representatives attended the April 2019 IDD SRAC meeting to discuss these projects and next steps. Additional information is provided at: https://hhs.texas.gov/about-hhs/process-improvement/managed-care-oversight-improvement-initiatives

Date Last Updated: 11/12/2019

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
	Whitestone	Completion Bate	/ Ongoing	date.
1	Quality subcommittee presents recommendations to Full IDD SRAC.	7/28/2016	Completed	
2	Quality subcommittee discussed recommendations with Communications staff.	10/12/2016	Completed	

<u> </u>	oving Member and Frovider Experience	in Medicala Mi	magea ear	
3	HHSC Program, Communication, and Ombudsman staff met to discuss website options to meet the subcommittees' recommendations while maintaining HHSC branding standards.	12/2016	Completed	
4	HHSC IDD SRAC liaison and Quality subcommittee chair presented identified projects to address subcommittee members' recommendations during the Quality subcommittee meeting.	1/25/17	Completed	
5	HHSC Program, Communication, and Ombudsman staff will meet and develop a timeline to create an accessible webpage for individuals and will present the timeline to the subcommittee.	4/4/17	Completed	
6	HHSC SRAC liaison will provide updates each meeting and work with the subcommittee to obtain feedback during the webpage design		Ongoing	
7	HHSC will survey STAR+PLUS MCOs to obtain more information on how they currently address complaints and if they currently send magnets.	4/4/17	Completed	
8	Attend IDD SRAC meeting to provide overview of managed care oversight improvement projects.	1/30/2019	Completed	
9	Accessible webpage design will be tested by individuals with IDD to ensure it is user friendly.	8/1/2019	Delayed	Will liaise with committee chair regarding resolution.
10	Accessible webpage will be posted to agency website.	9/1/2019	Completed	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	3c		
Agency/Division/Department.	MCS Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	rumber.	30		
	Wies Bepartment		In Progress:				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	HHSC should publ	HHSC should publish data about IDD consumer experience.					
11000111110110110111	Timbe should push	ion data do out 122	consumer experience.				
	HHSC should publ	ish data about IDD	consumer experience related to delay	s or denials of care from	om lack of network		
			ty criteria, not meeting internal guid				
	and lack of prior au				,		
Additional Stakeholder	1						
Background:							
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	PACSTX						
HHSC Response:	HHSC currently do	es not analyze the r	equested data for the IDD population	specifically. HHSC i	s continuing to research		
	whether changes ca	in be implemented t	o obtain and publish the requested da	ata information in the	future, as well as		
	explore ways to lev	erage the EQRO re	ports for inclusion of the requested d	ata.			
			vards improving member satisfaction				
			gh its EQRO, conducts routine Consu				
	Systems (CAHPS) surveys of Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) managed care members to						
	obtain feedback on healthcare. See recommendation 95 for progress on assessing the applicability of this survey to the						
	IDD population.						
	HHSC reviewed and assessed data, including complaint data, and complaints related to network adequacy and prior						
	authorizations, for inclusion in the House Bill 3523 Legislative Report submitted to the legislature in November 2016. The report can be viewed here: <a href="https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-redesign-for-indiv-with-idd.pdf">https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-redesign-for-indiv-with-idd.pdf</a> .						
	The report can be viewed here. https://mis.texas.gov/sites/ims/mes//system-redesign-tor-mary-with-rad.pdf.						
	HHSC also added questions related to members with IDD to the PCP Referral Study. This study surveys primary care						
	providers about the	ir experiences in re	ferring members for specialist care. I	HHSC asked providers	about whether they see		
	patients with IDD and to describe their experiences in referring members with IDD for specialist care, including						
	behavioral health care.						
			to look at consumer experiences of				
			samples and stratify results using th				
			DD Waivers, Supplemental Security		-service (FFS), and SSI		
	STAR+PLUS. Thi	s should allow HHS	SC to analyze results specific to mem	bers with IDD.			
					Page 6		

Date Last Updated: 11/15/2019

Majo	r Minestones with Status Opuates: (Add additional in			ilestone. Milestones do not need to be completed sequentially.)
	3.50	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Research to determine if the EQRO data collection	Spring 2017	Completed	HHSC is working with the EQRO to develop cost estimates
	process could specify experiences of individuals			regarding different options (e.g., surveys, focus groups, etc.).
	with intellectual and developmental disabilities.			As a result of IDD SRAC input in October 2017, EQRO is
				running HEDIS results specifically for individuals with IDD.
				The results are slated to be shared with the committee in
				December. Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated
				through IDD SRAC.
2	Submit House Bill 3523/ Senate Bill 7 IDD	11/1/2016	Completed	The House Bill 3523/ Senate Bill 7 IDD Legislative Report
	Legislative Report.			was submitted in November 2016. The report can be viewed
				here: https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/hhs/files//system-redesign-for-
				<u>indiv-with-idd.pdf</u> .
3	PCP Referral Study final report.	10/19/2018	Completed	The completed report was shared with IDD SRAC on October
				19, 2018. Ongoing work on this topic will be facilitated
				through IDD SRAC.
4	STAR Kids focus study final report.	11/14/2019	Completed	Preliminary results from the pre-implementation study were
				presented to the STAR Kids Advisory Committee at their
				public meeting on March 1, 2017. The final pre-
				implementation report was shared with the committee in
				summer 2017 and is posted on the HHSC website at
				https://hhs.texas.gov/sites/default/files/documents/about-
				hhs/process-improvement/quality-efficiency-
				improvement/STAR-Kids-Pre-Implementation-Report-
				<u>052617.pdf</u> . The final summary report which will include
				post-implementation measure results will be shared with the
				advisory committee in January 2020.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	12			
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Eliminate use of TI	PI and only use the N	NPI number.					
			cient and confusing. Many physicia					
			pate in multiple Medicaid programs					
			s NPI number for enrollment and c		er than multiple			
A 11949   1 G4   1   1   1	Medicaid TPI numi	Medicaid TPI numbers will streamline both processes for physicians and the state.						
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:	N	- / A 4 - C						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care						
Provided By:	TMA / TPS	· · ·		. 11	1.0			
HHSC Response:	Due to the legacy systems supporting Fee for Service processing in both Acute and Long Term Services and Supports,							
	HHSC cannot immediately discontinue the use of State Identifiers for providers such as the TPI and the DADS Contract							
	Identifiers. HHSC does require the MCOs and Providers conducting business with the MCOs to utilize either a NPI or Atypical Provider Identifier (API) for the submission of claims. The TPI is a value utilized for establishing enrollment							
			out is not utilized for claims process		stablishing emoninent			
	with his coordinate	Medicaid program (	out is not utilized for claims process	ang.				
	It is the intent of H	HSC to implement of	hanges that will continue to expand	the use of NPI and A	PI values while			
	diminishing the use of TPI and Contract IDs. These actions will take time to implement in a manner that supports both the Fee for Service and Managed Care service delivery models. Initial work has been done to identify changes needed							
	and the impact to future procurements. This will take place across multiple programming and contractual changes over							
			elated to impacted procurements will					
	-	opriate, and reported		and the second s	F- 34014111411			
Date Last Updated:	11/15/2019	1,						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Draft and publish request for proposal (RFP) for Provider Management and Enrollment system.	2/17/2017	Complete	
2	(RFP) Vendor Conference	3/1/2017	Complete	

3	(RFP) Proposal Response Phase	5/24/2017	Complete	
4	(RFP) Evaluation Phase	10/27/2017	Complete	
5	(RFP) Field of Competition Approved	11/10/2017	Complete	
6	(RFP) Recommended Vendor Approved	3/8/2018	Complete	
7	(RFP) Contract Awarded	12/31/2018	Complete	
8	Vendor Transition	3/1/2019	Complete	Targeted Date pushed out due to negotiations for transition.
9	Stakeholder evaluation of vendor deliverables	5/1/2019		Contract Suspended
10	PMES Testing	9/1/2019		Contract Suspended
11	PMES Implementation (TPI no longer used - system	3/1/2020		Contract Suspended
	live)			
12	Vendor Operations of PMES	3/1/2020		Contract Suspended

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	32 a-f / 35 / 73		
Agency/Division/Department.	MCS Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	Nulliber.	32 a-1 / 33 / 73		
	Wies Department		In Progress: X				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Improve the provis	ion of durable medic		ceiving Med	licaid services through a Managed		
Recommendation.	Care Organization.		car equipment to marviduals re	cerving wiec	incard services unough a Managed		
	Care Organization.						
	1) Require that asse	essments are done w	ithin a specified period of time	2) Require	the delivery of DME within a		
			MCO contract with DME cor				
					ey are waiting on their equipment to		
	* *	-	•		ers to request and be granted single		
	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ionship with is not within network.		
					Os are providing and denying DME.		
			•		the same scope of DME as that		
	available to FFS clients. Not all MCOs are applying the medical necessity standard for DME established in Medicaid policy. Not all MCOs are informing beneficiaries of the opportunity to request an exceptional circumstances appeal for						
			gency rule. Some MCOs are a				
					juests based upon "bundling" and		
	"coding" issues. These are not matters that a beneficiary can address in a fair hearing to challenge the denial. Some						
	MCOs are advising the DME supplier to change the specific items requested in order to secure an approval. Some						
	MCOs are requiring individuals to change DME providers even when their chosen provider is in network. Denial						
	notices that are not legally sufficient, for example: Providing a list of medical necessity criteria without specifying						
	which ones apply in a particular case. Simply informing the beneficiary that the requested DME item is "not part of						
	your health plan." Denying an item of DME without identifying the rule or policy that supports the denial. Telling						
	beneficiary to contact his or her physician about the denial.						
Additional Stakeholder			a meeting with EveryChild, In				
Background:	Disabilities, Arc of	Texas, and Disabili	ty Rights Texas on 8/9/2016.	The represen	tatives provided feedback that this		
	HHSC response did	d not fully address th	ne recommendations, and the f	ollowing add	ditional information was added for		
	consideration:						
	• There is a	concern that individu	als are not receiving equipme	nt that is aut	horized.		
	Particular of	concern when leavin	g facilities.				
	Consider re	eviewing trends and	data regarding delays between	authorizatio	on and provision of an item.		
					based solely on complaints but		
		to claims analysis.		,	, r		
		secret shopper appr	oach.				
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rrPP-					

HHSC Response:  HHSC result, required data recorded reduces  An addiscritical process  Effect follow claims for recorded.	C is committed to improving processes to address concerns regarding the provision of medically-necessary DME gh Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps.  C will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a a additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO rements. Effective 3/1/2017 the UMCC and UMCM have been revised to require MCOs to provide quarterly regarding members enrolled in STAR+PLUS, STAR Health and STAR Kids whose items or services have been
HHSC Response:  HHSC result, required data recorded reduces  An addiscritic process  Effect follow claims for recorded.	C is committed to improving processes to address concerns regarding the provision of medically-necessary DME gh Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps.  C will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a , additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO rements. Effective 3/1/2017 the UMCC and UMCM have been revised to require MCOs to provide quarterly egarding members enrolled in STAR+PLUS, STAR Health and STAR Kids whose items or services have been
through HHSC result, required data recorded and is critical process.  Effect follow claims for recorded and is critical process.	gh Medicaid MCOs. An internal meeting was convened to discuss these concerns and to identify next steps.  C will include DME/Adaptive Aid components in the FY 2017 STAR+PLUS HCBS utilization reviews. As a additional data regarding HCBS will be produced and evaluated for potential modifications to MCO rements. Effective 3/1/2017 the UMCC and UMCM have been revised to require MCOs to provide quarterly egarding members enrolled in STAR+PLUS, STAR Health and STAR Kids whose items or services have been
timeling a time  A reportending complements	Iditional step that HHSC will undertake is to review options to improve training for both providers and MCOs. It ical that providers and MCO staff have a thorough understanding of the Medicaid DME benefits and the related sses for approval and provision of the benefits.  It ive 2017, MCO websites must allow providers to submit PA requests and include online processes to permit the ving: submission of electronic claims and any related documentation requested by the MCO; submission of sappeals and reconsiderations, and submission of clinical data. The website also must include email addresses ceipt of provider complaints. Provider directories must include an explanation of referral processes to providers as OB/GYNs, behavioral health, and family planning.  It is a reference of the session of the session of the interpretation of the session of the assessments are happening in the session of the session of the laints. In addition, HHSC is requesting specific examples from DME providers to determine which barriers ders are experiencing. These issues will be researched by HHSC and discussed with the MCOs.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	accompany the denial notice. HHSC is also committed to including an opportunity for stakeholder comment prior to adding the requirement to MCO contracts and manuals.
	Stakeholders are requested to submit complaints and examples of untimely assessments to the HHSC Ombudsman (clients) or HHSC HPM (members and providers):
	HHSC Ombudsman Phone: 1-866-566-8989 HHSC Ombudsman Online: https://hhs.texas.gov/ombudsman
	HHSC HPM Email: HPM_complaints@hhsc.state.tx.us or STAR.Health@hhsc.state.tx.us (for complaints specific to the STAR Health program)
	In response to stakeholder request for information about use of SSLCs for wheeled mobility vendors: HHSC does not have approval at this time from CMS for SSLCs to provide services to the community.
Date Last Updated:	11/15/2019

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	HHSC will convene an internal workgroup to brainstorm actions that can be taken to address the requestors concerns not already addressed in the response.	8/31/2016	Completed	
2	Host webinar for MCOs regarding medical policy for mobility aids.	10/30/2016	Completed	
3	HPM compiles report on closed DME complaints received in FY 2016.	11/20/2016	Completed	
4	Obtain specific examples from DME providers to determine which barriers providers are experiencing.	12/15/2016	Requested	
5	Enhanced MCO websites implemented.	5/1/2017	Completed	Enhanced MCO websites have been implemented and reviewed. HPM is currently following up on minor outstanding items.
6	Contract and manual changes effective to require MCOs to provide quarterly data regarding items	3/1/2017	Completed	

mpre	oving Member and Provider Experience	in Medicald Ma	naged Car	e
	or services have been reduced, denied, or terminated.			
7	Assess DME complaints and potential next steps (internal).	1/30/2017	Completed	Analysis did not result in the identification of any DME complaint-related trends, but HPM will continue to monitor future complaint data.
8	Meet with internal workgroup to discuss DME complaints findings and utilization review results to determine appropriate actions and next steps.	6/1/2017	Completed	
9	HPM and MCO conference calls to discuss complaint trends.	9/1/2017	Completed	After researching complaint data, received from January 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017; only inquiries and complaints about preferred providers were identified as a trend. Due to these contacts, HHSC Program/Policy and Legal areas met with MCOs to clarify policies around Member choice and the processes, by which, the MCO shall capture and update member DME provider selections.
10	Review options to improve training.	9/1/2017	Completed	
11	Complete a random sample review of HCBS members, discuss outcomes with each MCO, and publish annual Utilization Review report.	11/1/2017	Completed	
12	Review DME issues with advocates/stakeholders.	6/1/2018	Completed	Meeting with advocates/stakeholders held to revisit current concerns regarding the delivery of DME to Medicaid Managed Care members across programs.
13	Convene stakeholders to discuss issues of concern.	11/1/2018	Completed	Beginning in November 2018, HHSC staff convened DME providers, managed care organizations, and advocates for individuals who use DME to discuss stakeholder concerns. Meetings occur every 6-8 weeks and are anticipated to conclude in late 2019. Each meeting has a focus on a specific concern shared by stakeholders.
14	Amend Uniform Managed Care Manual to include required template for all MCO denial letters	3/1/2020	Delayed	UMCM Chapter 3.21 was pulled as updates to the adverse benefit determination notice are being made based on legislation direction received by HHSC; Implementation of an Independent Review Organization to perform external medical review of MCO appeals is set to implement August 2020.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	58		
Agency/Division/Department.	MCS	status.	No Action to be Taken:	Number.	36		
	Department		In Progress: X				
	Department		Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Establish creder	l itialing and mini		r nursing facility pro	viders seeking to participate in the		
Recommendation.	Establish credentialing and minimum performance standards for nursing facility providers seeking to participate in the STAR + PLUS Medicaid managed care program that are consistent with adopted federal and state standards.						
	STAR TLOS	Wiediedia manag	ed care program that are consis	tent with adopted to	derar and state standards.		
	HHSC has not in	mplemented other	er current law (SB 7, 2013) rega	arding the Commissi	on's responsibility to –		
	"establish cr	edentialing and	minimum performance standard	ls for nursing facility	providers seeking to participate in the		
					deral and state standards. A managed		
					ng facility does not meet the minimum		
			ed by the commission under this		•		
Additional Stakeholder Background:							
Category:	Contract provisi	ons					
Provided By:	AARP						
HHSC Response:			Code 533.00251(e), HHSC is d				
		mance standards	s and plans to submit contract a	mendments in Septe	mber 2017 to be effective March 1,		
	2018.						
	Currently the co	entract includes s	tandard significant traditional n	rovider (STP) provi	sions statewide for nursing facilities in		
					TP if it holds a valid certification,		
					ng provider policy is in contract, but		
	· ·		* '		h any willing NF-provider, including		
					, 2013. The NF STP provision and any		
					imum performance standards. HHSC		
					ntialing and minimum performance		
			willing provider provision will				
					ing their input on MCO credentialing		
	standards for NFs. HHS surveyed the STAR+PLUS MCOs and the Medicare-Medicaid plans (MMP) about credentialing						
	and re-contracting of NFs and skilled nursing facilities (SNFs). Additional meetings were scheduled to obtain further						
	input. HHSC met with AARP on 2/21/2017 to discuss feedback and ideas under discussion. HHSC incorporated AARP's feedback into the draft high level proposal.						
	reedback into th	e draft nigh leve	i proposai.				
					Day 44		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	7 1401 = F01101100 111 11104104114 11141148 0 0 0 1 1						
The NF credentialing stakeholder workgroup comprised of state staff and key stakeholders, will work together in							
developing the credentialing and performance standards. The workgroup will consider how to prevent the implementate							
	of these standards from resulting in access to care issues.						
	HHSC will review and reassess standards and modification of standards as needed.						
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	11/14/2019						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Nursing facility provider meeting held requesting	3/15/2016	Completed	
	feedback from providers, associations and MCOs.			
2	Nursing facility provider meeting held reiterating	4/25/2016	Completed	
	that feedback is being requested.			
3	STAR+PLUS conference call asking MCOs to	6/1/2016	Completed	
	submit in writing the credentialing criteria they will			
	use once STP status for nursing facility providers			
	expires and how each MCO will handle contracting			
	with NF as well.			
4	Requested criteria received from the MCOs.	6/13/2016	Completed	
5	Meet with AARP to discuss feedback received.	2/21/2017	Completed	
6	Obtain feedback from other relevant stakeholders.	2/1/2017 through	Completed	
		8/31/2017		
7	Revise UMCC and UMCM to incorporate changes	9/1/2017	Completed	
	for 3/1/2018 effective date.			
8	Determine if a Texas Administrative Code rule	4/1/2018	Completed	It was determined that this was not needed.
	amendment is needed.			
9	Negotiate contract amendments	10/1/2017 through	Completed	
		2/28/2018		
10	Dependent upon contract amendment negotiations,	3/1/2018	Completed	
	new STAR+PLUS credentialing standards become			
	effective. All STAR+PLUS MCOs must use the			
	state-identified credentialing standards to credential			
	NFs seeking to participate in STAR+PLUS.			

11	Submit changes to UMCM to incorporate minimum	2/1/2020	Delayed	In the last quarter of 2018, the workgroup made progress in
11		2/1/2020	Delayed	
	performance standards.			identifying possible metrics for performance standards;
				however, progress was delayed due to the workload associated
				with the Texas legislative session.
				The workgroup reconvened September 2019 to finalize the
				measures and standards to be used for the minimum
				performance standards. After the meeting, HHSC had action
				items for additional analyses and workgroup members
				provided official feedback on the proposal.
12	Require that MCOs complete credentialing of all	12/31/2018	Completed	While still true that this requirement was put in place by
	NFs that are in its network as of 3/1/2018 by			12/31/2018, the deadline for compliance has been revised to
	6/30/2019.			12/31/2019 to address issues with implementation of this
				requirement.
13	Determine roles and responsibilities for-monitoring	2/1/2020	Delayed	This milestone is dependent upon the completion of milestone
	NF performance on standards.			11, which was delayed.

			alu Manageu Care		0.0			
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	83			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress: X					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	over" to the new p PA & physician or	nen a member does make a MMC plan change, we are asking for a transfer of the existing PA for service needs to er" to the new plan, for the remainder of the PA date span.  & physician order continuity upon MMC change: When a member does make a MMC plan change, we are asking service of the existing PA for service needs to "carry over" to the new plan, for the remainder of the PA date span.						
					vice to an already current member			
					in order to be accepted as "good" as			
			vithin 180 days of service date.	for current physicia	in order to be accepted as good as			
Additional Stakeholder	long as physician	signature date is v	vitinii 100 days of service date.					
Background:								
Category:	Continuity of Care	)						
Provided By:	Texas Rehab Prov							
HHSC Response:	HHSC contractually requires MCOs to provide continuity in the care of newly enrolled members in accordance with UMCC Section 8.2.1, "Continuity of Care and Out-of-Network Providers." However, this requirement is contingent upon the member's provider notifying the MCO of the existence of a prior authorization. The order is valid for the shortest period of one of the following: (1) 90 calendar days after the transition to a new MCO or 180 calendar days for LTSS services for STAR+PLUS members; (2) until the end of the current authorization period; or (3) until the MCO has evaluated and assessed the member and issued or denied a new authorization.							
	Initial options were reviewed, and a high-level estimate received to collect this information. HHSC has explored additional alternatives and held meetings internally to discuss next steps. The Texas Association of Health Plans (TAHP) is leading an MCO workgroup which developed a member transfer process, which includes PA transfer information, for certain programs. At the request of HHSC, TAHP expanded the scope of their project to encompass all programs, including CHIP. The PA transfer process developed by the workgroup does not require HHSC intervention or technology changes. TAHP has collaborated with MCOs to develop a manual process to transfer existing PA from one plan to another for STAR Kids and STAR+PLUS, and is currently working to implement this in CHIP. This manual process is not appropriate for use in the STAR program, so automated options may be explored once federal rules related to this issue are published. Draft rules indicate that an automated process may be required. HHSC will continue to monitor progress to confirm that this effort will meet this need.							
Date Last Updated:	12/5/2019							

### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Explore options and identify cost involved to make	9/1/2017	Complete	
	changes to collect and share prior authorization			
	content between payers.			
2	Research alternative solutions and determine	4/1/2018	Completed	
	associated costs. This step includes obtaining		_	
	stakeholder feedback.			
3	Obtain feedback from TAHP about options to	9/1/2019	Completed	TAHP coordinated with MCOs and determined this is not a
	automate this processing in the STAR program.		_	feasible option. HHSC will consider alternative options.
4	Consider additional options for a solution.	6/1/2020		

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	97 / 98		
gj/	MCS	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	No Action to be Taken:	- 10	2112		
	Department		In Progress: X				
	1		Complete:				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Meaningfully info	orm and include po	eople with DD on councils, worl	kgroups, and commi	ttees concerning their health and		
	human services.						
Additional Stakeholder					for Developmental Disabilities, Arc		
Background:					back that supports are not provided		
	<u> </u>	nd shared concern	ns that feedback is routed throug	gh HHSC and not pro	ovided directly to legislative		
	leadership.						
Category:	Stakeholder engag						
Provided By:	Disability Rights	Texas/EveryChild	I, Inc./Texas Council for Develo	pmental Disabilities	The Arc of Texas		
HHSC Response:	While HHSC makes every effort to inform and include individuals with developmental disabilities on committees, counc and workgroups, we are always interested in ways we might enhance outreach and participation. HHSC is currently examining our committee memberships and other opportunities for public comment to look for areas of improvement.  HHSC will continue to consider individuals with DD for council, workgroups, and committees. HHSC currently engages the HHSC civil rights agency staff in council and committee membership decisions to ensure adequate and diverse representation on the councils and committees.  Through our advisory committees, individuals with disabilities are given opportunities to serve and express their concern regarding the quality of care received. These committees—in addition to the IDD SRAC, the BHIAC, Medical Care Advisory Committee, and the STAR Kids Advisory Committee—provide a forum for stakeholder input on policies impacting the delivery of Medicaid managed care services.  Using the forums described above, HHSC will continue to consider feedback from families, individuals with disabilities receiving services, and LTSS providers on a number of policies, including ways to alleviate burdensome processes. HHS will actively seek feedback by adding topics to current appropriate stakeholder forum agendas.  After further discussion with stakeholders, MCS leadership directed additional efforts to develop a policy around the supports and processes to be used for councils, workgroups, and committees on which individuals with DD may serve or						
D ( I (II I ( I	the need for these supports and the steps to take for inclusive meetings.						
Date Last Updated:	12/13/2019						

J	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Identify changes needed to ensure participation of individuals participating on councils, workgroups, and committees is meaningful and appropriately supported.	11/30/16	Completed	
2	Develop plans to address issues.	2/1/2017	Completed	
3	Establish internal workgroup to develop policy to outline expectations for supports and process to use to establish an inclusive meeting for individuals with DD that may serve or participate.	6/1/2017	Completed	
4	Coordinate with The Arc of Texas to deliver training for staff.	9/1/2017	Completed	
5	Meet with staff in the Advisory Committee Coordination Office to share information about the project, and transfer responsibilities.	9/1/2018	Completed	This project is being transferred to the Advisory Committee Coordination Office for agency wide development.
6	Develop draft HHSC policy outlining expectations for meeting supports for inclusion of individuals with IDD.	8/1/18	Completed	
7	Add draft components to the HHSC facilitation guide, outlining expectations for meeting supports for inclusion of individuals with IDD.	10/1/18	Completed	
8	Finalize HHSC policy.	10/1/18	Completed	
9	Develop plans for meeting ongoing training needs.	10/1/18	Completed	
10	Finalize HHSC facilitation guide.	10/1/18	Completed	
11	Analyze project needs and develop new project timeline.	1/1/2019	Completed	
12	Conduct training for HHSC staff and committee members.	4/1/2020	Delayed	Due to turnover in committee support staff, training for new liaisons was scheduled and completed in November. Training for all support staff will be scheduled early in 2020 to present the orientation and training on the inclusion of individuals with special needs.